Hydrogeological Assessment, 1255 Fuller Avenue, Penetanguishene, ON



August 14, 2023

Prepared for: 1000239074 Ontario Inc.

Cambium Reference: 16599-001

CAMBIUM INC.

866.217.7900

cambium-inc.com



Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Scope of Work	1
1.2	Site Description and Site Development	2
2.0	Environmental Features	4
3.0	Physical Setting	5
3.1	Topography and Drainage	5
3.2	Physiography	5
3.3	Overburden Geology	5
3.4	Bedrock Geology	6
4.0	MECP Well Records Assessment	7
5.0	Borehole Drilling and Monitoring Well Installation	8
5.1	Borehole Investigation	8
5.2	Physical Laboratory Testing	9
5.3	Groundwater Level Monitoring	10
5.4	Groundwater Flow Direction	10
5.5	Hydraulic Conductivity of Shallow Subsurface Soils	11
6.0	Construction Dewatering	12
7.0	Water Balance Assessment	13
7.1	Water Surplus	14
7.2	Infiltration Rate	14
7.3	Pre-Development Water Balance	15
7.4	Post-Development Water Balance	16
7.5	Water Balance Comparison	16
7.6	Discussions on LID Measures	18
8.0	Source Water Protection and Risk Management	20



8.1	Highly Vulnerable Aquifer Area	20
9.0	Assessment of Potential Impacts	21
9.1	Natural Features	21
9.2	Water Supply Wells near the Site	21
9.3	Considerations on Drinking Water Vulnerability	21
10.0	Conclusions and Recommendations	23
11.0	Closing	25
12.0	References	
13.0	Standard Limitations	27

List of Tables

Table 1	Summary of Surrounding Water Well Record Information	7
Table 2	Well Construction Details	9
Table 3	Particle Size Distribution	9
Table 4	Measured Groundwater Details (March to June, 2023)	10
Table 5	Calculated Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity	11
Table 6	Pre- and Post-Development Statistics	14
Table 7	Infiltration Factor	15
Table 8	Pre-Development Water Balance	16
Table 9	Post-Development Water Balance	16
Table 10	Comparison of Pre- and Post Development Water Balance	17
Table 11	Requirement of Infiltration from Roof Run-off	17



List of Appended Figures

- Figure 1 Regional Location Map
- Figure 2 Site Plan
- Figure 3 MECP Well Records
- Figure 4 Borehole Location Plan
- Figure 5 Groundwater Configuration Map
- Figure 6 Pre-Development Plan
- Figure 7 Post-Development Plan

List of Appendices

- Appendix A Proposed Development Plan and Land Information
- Appendix B MECP Well Records
- Appendix C Borehole Logs
- Appendix D Grain Size Analysis Results
- Appendix E Water Balance Calculations



1.0 Introduction

Cambium Inc. (Cambium) was retained by 1000239074 Ontario Inc. (Client) to complete a hydrogeological assessment of the property located at 1255 Fuller Avenue, Penetanguishene, Ontario (Site).

The hydrogeological assessment is in support of a proposed residential development consisting of 27 single detached lots, 4semi-detached and 33 standard townhouse dwellings, a stormwater management pond (SWMP), and associated infrastructure including paved driveways, landscape areas, walkways, and on-grade surface level parking. The assessment will include a general review of available geological / hydrogeological information, hydraulic testing of existing monitoring wells, dewatering calculations using the results of the hydraulic testing, a water balance, and a source water impact assessment.

1.1 Scope of Work

This hydrogeological investigation was carried out with the following tasks:

- Review of available background information: a review of available geological and hydrogeological information for the Site and surrounding areas and the previous investigation reports for the Site was conducted to provide background information and to characterize the Site's soil and groundwater conditions.
- **Detailed site inspection:** an inspection was completed to review existing Site conditions, including identification of any hydrogeological features such as significant areas of potential groundwater recharge or areas of groundwater discharge.
- Measurement of groundwater levels: groundwater levels were measured at the existing monitoring wells to establish and/or confirm the general groundwater flow conditions and water level elevations.
- In-situ hydraulic conductivity tests: single well response tests (i.e., in-situ hydraulic conductivity tests, SWRTs) will be conducted on existing monitoring wells to estimate the



hydraulic conductivity of the underlying soils and/or bedrock, which are used for assessing the potential dewatering requirements.

- Preliminary dewatering assessment: was completed for the construction excavations for basements based on the water level monitoring and SWRT tests completed at the Site. However, it should be noted that no detailed estimates of dewatering were completed.
- Water balance (preliminary): a preliminary water balance study was completed for the proposed development using the Thornthwaite-Mather approach and climate data obtained from Environment Canada.
- **Source water protection:** a source water protection assessment was completed for the Site as the Subject lands are situated within a Highly Vulnerable Aquifer (HVA) as per the South Georgian Bay Lake Simcoe Source Protection Plan (SBSLS SPR, 2021).
- **Report preparation:** a hydrogeological report was prepared presenting the results, findings, and recommendations of this investigation.

It should be noted that a geotechnical investigation (Cambium, 2023) is being completed at the Site concurrently by Cambium and will be provided under separate cover. The data or information obtained in the current and previous investigations has been incorporated into this hydrogeological assessment report.

1.2 Site Description and Site Development

The total area of the Site is approximately 3.86 ha (9.53 acres) and it is currently zoned as a Rural (RU) Zone. Land to the north of the Site is zoned as Institutional (G) Zone, to the east as Rural Residential (RR-1) Zone, to the south as RU Zone, and to the west as a mix of Residential Second Density (R2) Zone and Residential Third Density (R3) Zone. The Site is bordered to the north by Sandy Bay Road and to the west by Fuller Avenue.

The Site is currently predominantly undeveloped woodland; however, there is a single-family dwelling and associated driveway developed in the southwestern corner of the Site. The proposed development consists of 27 single detached, 4 semi-detached and 33 townhouse units, a SWMP, and associated infrastructure. The Town of Penetanguishene will provide



water and wastewater services to the development from the access at the intersection of Broad Street and Fuller Avenue.

The regional location of the Site is outlined on Figure 1, the property and surrounding areas outlined on Figure 2, and the proposed development plan is included in Appendix A.



2.0 Environmental Features

To assess environmental features, databases maintained by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF), the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), and South Georgian Bay Lake Simcoe (SGBLS) Source Protection Region were reviewed.

Based on the data reviewed, the Site is situated within the South Georgian Bay Shoreline watershed. Surface drainage at the Site will follow the local topography and flow radially from the north-south trending ridge. It is assumed that surface drainage will ultimately discharge to the north-northeast of Site into the unevaluated wetland located on the northern side of Pine Grove Road where surface water will ultimately drain into Georgian Bay, located approximately 1.4 km northeast of the Site.

As per the MNRF Natural Heritage System database, there are no mapped wetlands or watercourses at the Site; however, the majority of the Site is within a mapped woodland. There is a mapped unevaluated wetland located northeast of the Site on the northern side of Sandy Bay Road. The Site does not have any Areas of Environmental Significance or Areas of Natural and Scientific Interests (ANSI)(Appendix A).

The Site is under the SGBLS Source Protection Region under the Severn Sound Source Protection Area (SPA) and is not situated in a regulated area and therefore, development restrictions do not apply to the proposed development.

As shown on the MECP Source Water Protection Atlas (SPIA) map, the southwestern and southeastern corners of the Site are within a Highly Vulnerable Aquifer (HVA) with a vulnerability score of 6 (Appendix A). There are no other vulnerable groundwater areas (i.e. wellhead protection areas, significant groundwater recharge areas, intake protection zones, etc.) identified at the Site.



3.0 Physical Setting

3.1 Topography and Drainage

Based on the topographic survey conducted by C.T. Strongman Survey Ltd. on August 17, 2022 (Appendix A), a maximum elevation of 238.00 metres above sea level (masl) was found in the northwest and the lowest elevation of 233.50 masl in the southeast. The topography of the Site generally slopes to the east and southeast to a minimum elevation of 233.50 masl.

As discussed in Section 2.0, there are no mapped waterbodies on Site; however, there is an unevaluated wetland located to the north and south of Site. Surface drainage will likely flow from the topographic high in the northwest to the southeast ultimately discharging to St. Andrews Lake situated at about 0.4 km southeast of the Site.

3.2 Physiography

The Site is located in the physiographic region known as the Simcoe Uplands. This region is comprised of a series of broad, rolling, till plains separated by steep-sided flat-floor valleys. The region is encircled by numerous shorelines, indicating that there were used to be islands in Lake Algonquin. On the Penetang Peninsula, the uplands were submerged in glacial Lake Algonquin with the result that boulder pavement, sand, and silt appear on the surface. The Penetang area is included in the Simcoe Uplands because they are elevated and have rolling topography (Chapman, L.J. and D.F. Putnam, 1984).

3.3 Overburden Geology

According to Data 126 - Revised from the Ontario Geological Survey (OGS, 2010), the predominant overburden soils at the surface of the Site are described as stone-poor, sandy silt to silty sand-textured till deposited on Paleozoic terrain. The very southwestern corner of the Site is mapped as fine-grained glaciolacustrine deposits described as silt and clay, minor sand, and gravel that can be massive to well laminated.



3.4 Bedrock Geology

According to Miscellaneous Release – Data 219 from the Ontario Geological Survey (OGS, 2007), the bedrock in the area of the Site consists of Middle Ordovician rocks from the Simcoe Group identified as the Gull River Formation. The Gull River Formation is divided into two members: a lower member that consists of interbedded limestones and dolostones and an upper member that consists of thin-bedded shaly limestones. The formation commonly has small lenses of calcite, "birds-eye" texture, sulphate nodules and molds, and abundant fossils.



4.0 MECP Well Records Assessment

Cambium accessed the Ministry of the Environment Conservation and Parks (MECP) Water Well Information System (WWIS) to review water well records within 500 m of the Site.

There were about seventeen water well records found within approximately 500 m of the Site (Figure 3; Appendix B). Of the well records, 16 wells were installed in overburden to an average depth of 54.3 metres below ground surface (mbgs) and one well record was installed in bedrock to a depth of 71.0 mbgs. The depth of the overburden-bedrock contact from the bedrock well record (Well Record No. 5716422) was 66.1 mbgs. The wells were installed between the years 1965 and 2013. A total of 15 well records were for water supply wells, 1 well record was an abandonment record, and one well record was for a monitoring well. A summary of the depths, static water levels, and pumping rates for the bedrock wells and overburden wells are shown in Table 1.

Well Type		Depth (mbgs)	Water Found at (mbgs)	Static Water Level (mbgs)	Recommended Pumping Rate (L/min)
Bedrock Count = 1		71.0	38.1	38.0	55.0
	Minimum	9.1	8.5	5.0	18.0
Overburden Count	Maximum	64.3	62.2	53.0	455.0
	Average	54.3	51.6	37.9	87.6

 Table 1
 Summary of Surrounding Water Well Record Information

A summary of the information outlined in the well records is provided below:

- Overburden was generally reported as being sand-dominant with varying amounts of gravel, silt, and clay. Some well records also recorded gravel-dominant and clay-dominant horizons.
- Bedrock was described as limestone.
- Water yields in the area are generally high indicating the presence of a productive aquifer capable of supporting many groundwater users.



5.0 Borehole Drilling and Monitoring Well Installation

5.1 Borehole Investigation

Cambium completed a geotechnical investigation at the Site on November 24 and 25 of 2022 to assess the subsurface conditions at the Site. A total of six boreholes were advanced into the overburden, designated as BH101-22 through BH106-22; the boreholes were extended to termination depth of 6.3 mbgs to 6.6 mbgs. BH101-22, BH102-22, and BH105-22 were outfitted with monitoring wells; the three monitoring wells were used for stabilized water level monitoring and to define the local groundwater regime across the Site. Borehole and monitoring well locations (including the existing monitoring wells) are appended as Figure 4. Borehole logs are included in Appendix C.

A summary of general lithological details is presented below.

Topsoil

A layer of organic topsoil was encountered at the surface of each of the boreholes advanced at the Site. The encountered topsoil ranged in thickness from 0.10 m to 0.15 m and was black in colour.

Sand

A deposit of cohesionless native sandy soils were encountered beneath surficial topsoil within borehole BH101-22, extending to a depth of 3.0 mbgs. The native sand deposit was gravelly in composition and contains traces of silt and clay.

Glacial Till

A deposit of native glacial till soils was encountered beneath the upper native sand deposit within borehole BH101-22, and beneath the surficial topsoil in each of the remaining boreholes. The glacial till deposit generally consisted of a silty sand matrix containing varying amounts of gravel and clay. The glacial till soils extended to the borehole termination depths from 6.3 mbgs to 6.6 mbgs.



Bedrock

Bedrock was not encountered in any of the boreholes advanced to a maximum termination depth of 6.6 mbgs. Each of the boreholes advanced throughout the site were terminated due to practical refusal within the generally compact to very dense native silty sand glacial till soils.

Monitoring wells construction details including screen elevations are presented in the Table 2.

Monitoring Well	Borehole Termination Depth (mbgs)	Monitoring Well Termination Deth (mbgs)	Ground Elevation (masl)	Screen Top (masl)	Screen Bottom (masl)
BH101-22	6.4	6.1	232.53	229.48	226.43
BH102-22	6.6	6.1	233.92	230.87	227.82
BH105-22	6.6	6.1	236.59	233.54	230.49

 Table 2
 Well Construction Details

5.2 Physical Laboratory Testing

Physical laboratory testing was completed for a total of five soil samples to confirm textural classification and to estimate the percolation rates of the native soils. Results are presented in Appendix D and details of the grain-size analysis are presented in Table 3, below.

Borehole	Depth (mbgs)	Description	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay	Percolation Times (min/cm)
BH101-22 SS3	1.5 – 2.1	Gravelly Sand some Silt trace Clay	23	61	15	1	8
BH102-22 SS5	3.0 - 3.7	Sand and Silt trace Clay trace Gravel	1	57	36	6	20
BH103-22 SS4	2.3 – 2.9	Silty Sand trace Clay trace Gravel	3	59	34	4	18
BH104-22 SS3	1.5 – 2.1	Silty Sand trace Clay trace Gravel	3	57	34	6	20
BH106-22 SS4	2.3 – 2.9	Silt and Sand trace Clay trace Gravel	1	43	52	4	20

Table 3 Particle Size Distribution

The native soil percolation rates ranged from 20 min/cm to 8 min/cm. The geometric mean of the percolation rates was estimated at about 16 min/cm. These results indicate a moderate to high infiltration capacity of the native soils.



5.3 Groundwater Level Monitoring

All boreholes and monitoring wells were open and dry at the completion of drilling on November 24 and 25, 2022, and the monitoring wells remained dry upon a subsequent Site visit on December 8, 2022, to complete the survey of the borehole locations. Therefore, it was determined that groundwater levels were deeper than 6.1 mbgs during the late fall and winter months.

Spring seasonal water level monitoring (from March to June of 2023) was completed to capture the seasonal high water table conditions across the Site and the results of this groundwater monitoring program are provided in Table 4, below.

	Well	BH101-22	BH102-22	BH105-22
Т	op of Pipe Elevation (masl)	233.57	235.00	237.64
Gro	und Surface Elevation (masl)	232.53	232.53	236.59
	Stick-up (m)	1.04	1.08	1.05
Mar. 15,	Water Level (mbgs)	5.45	Dry	6.02
2023	Groundwater Elev.(masl)	227.08	-	230.57
Apr.	Water Level (mbgs)	2.56	5.58	5.92
17,2023	Groundwater Elev.(masl)	229.97	228.35	230.67
May	Water Level (mbgs)	2.93	5.61	5.96
17,2023	Groundwater Elev.(masl)	229.60	228.32	230.63
Jun 27, 2023	Water Level (mbgs)	5.76	5.68	5.96
	Groundwater Elev.(masl)	226.77	228.25	230.63

Table 4 Measured Groundwater Details (March to June, 2023)

As presented above, the measured groundwater levels in the monitoring wells during the spring monitoring event ranged in depth from 2.56 mbgs to 6.02 mbgs, while the elevations were between 226.77 masl to 230.67 masl. Therefore, the spring high water level and elevation were 2.56 mbgs and 230.67 masl, respectively.

5.4 Groundwater Flow Direction

A site-specific groundwater elevation contour map was prepared using the April 2023 groundwater level measurements at the Site and is depicted in Figure 5. Accordingly, the



groundwater flow was found to be to the southeast towards the St. Andrews Lake and ultimately to the Lake Huron.

5.5 Hydraulic Conductivity of Shallow Subsurface Soils

As the monitoring wells were either dry or having in-sufficient hydraulic head during Cambium's Site visits, single well response tests (slug tests) were not able to be performed to estimate the hydraulic conductivities (K-values) of the shallow subsurface soils.

However, there is an established relationship between hydraulic conductivity (m/s) and percolation rate (min/cm), as outlined in the *Supplementary Guidelines to the Ontario Building Code:* SG-6 Percolation Time and Soil Descriptions (OMMAH, 1997) and the calculated saturated hydraulic conductivity results from this relationship are presented in Table 5 based off the percolation rates presented in Section 5.2.

Borehole	Soil Description	Estimated Hydraulic Conductivity (m/sec)
BH101-22 SS3	Gravelly Sand some Silt trace Clay	6.17 x 10 ⁻⁶
BH102-22 SS5	Sand and Silt trace Clay trace Gravel	2.01 x 10 ⁻⁷
BH103-22 SS4	Silty Sand trace Clay trace Gravel	2.98 x 10 ⁻⁷
BH104-22 SS3	Silty Sand trace Clay trace Gravel	2.01 x 10 ⁻⁷
BH106-22 SS4	Silt and Sand trace Clay trace Gravel	2.01 x 10 ⁻⁷

 Table 5
 Calculated Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity

The calculated hydraulic conductivities based on the established relationship with percolation rate ranged between 2.01×10^{-7} m/sec and 6.17×10^{-6} m/sec.



6.0 Construction Dewatering

The proposed development will include detached, semi-detached, and standard townhouse units and it is assumed that each unit/lot will have a basement level. Detailed designs were not available at the time of this report's preparation; therefore, it was assumed that the basement excavations would be extended to a depth of 3.5 mbgs, allowing for a 0.5 m allowance for the basement slab and the underneath granular base.

Based on the groundwater monitoring completed in the spring of 2023, the depth to the groundwater at the Site found to range between 2.56 mbgs to 6.02 mbgs, while the elevations were between 226.77 masl and 230.67 masl. The spring high water levels were observed in April and May ranging in depth from 2.56 mbgs to 2.93 mbgs in the southwest corner of the Site proposed for a SWM pond. Rest of the Site has deeper groundwater levels greater than 3.5 mbgs, which is the maximum excavation depth for the one level basement for the proposed development. Therefore, no short-term construction dewatering is anticipated at the Site, provided all construction activities will take place between late spring and late winter periods.



7.0 Water Balance Assessment

Based on the Thornthwaite and Mather methodology (1957), a water balance is an accounting of water in the hydrologic cycle. Precipitation (P) falls as rain and snow. It can run off towards lakes and streams (R), infiltrate to the groundwater table (I), or evaporate from ground or be transpired by vegetation (ET). When long-term average values of P, R, I, and ET are used, there is minimal or no net change to groundwater storage (Δ S) in a steady-state system.

The annual water budget of a site can be expressed as:

 $P = ET + R + I + \Delta S$

Where:

P = Precipitation (mm/year)

ET = Evapotranspiration (mm/year)

R = Run-off (mm/year)

I = Infiltration (mm/year)

 ΔS = Change in groundwater storage (taken as zero) (mm/year)

The calculations presented here compare the pre- and post-development water balance changes within the Site boundaries as a result of the proposed development. It is noted that the water balance described herein does not account for catchment areas that extend off-site.

The Site is currently predominantly undeveloped with a single-family dwelling and associated driveway in the southwestern corner of the Site. It is understood that the proposed development consists of residential units, a SWMP, and associated infrastructure including paved driveways, landscape areas, walkways, and on-grade surface level parking. The pre-development surfaces and post-development plans for the proposed development are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7, respectively.

Based on the available design information, the pre- and post-development Site coverage can be generally categorized into three types: paved areas, roof areas, and landscaped areas. A detailed landscape plan was not available at the time of this report. However, based on the



Site statistics provided by the client, a summary of the surface areas of the development is listed in Table 6:

Type of Land Coverage	Pre-Developments Areas (m ²)	Post Development Areas (m ²)
Paved Area	120	9.340
Roof Area	130	24,850
Landscaped Area	38,305	4,635
Total (m²)	38,555	38,555

Table 6 Pre- and Post-Development Statistics

7.1 Water Surplus

Water surplus is calculated by determining the difference between precipitation and evapotranspiration at a site over the course of a year (changes in soil water storage were assumed to be negligible). The volume of water surplus is further sub-divided into portions that infiltrate the on-site soils and that are directed off-site as runoff.

The climatic data, including monthly average temperature and precipitation from 1981 to 2010, were obtained from Environment Canada for Midland Water Pollution Control Plant weather station (Climate ID: 6115127), located about 5.4 km distance from the Site. Accordingly, the average annual evapotranspiration was estimated to be about 542 mm/year using the USGS Thornthwaite Monthly Water Balance methodology (Appendix E), and the average annual precipitation was recorded to be 1,041 mm/year. The water surplus of the Site was calculated to be 499 mm/yr.

Transpiration does not occur from structures, paved areas, or gravel surfaces. It was assumed that 10% of precipitation falling on these surfaces is lost directly to evaporation. The remaining depth (i.e., 90% of precipitation) was considered surplus and converted to runoff.

7.2 Infiltration Rate

The volume of surplus water that infiltrates through pervious surfaces on-site was determined by applying an infiltration factor to the surplus depth. The surplus water that does not infiltrate into pervious surfaces will leave the Site as surface water runoff. The infiltration factor varies



from 0 to 1 and is estimated based on topography, soils, and vegetation cover as per the *Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual* (MOE, 2003).

The rate of infiltration at a site is expected to vary, based on a number of factors to be considered in any infiltration model. To partition the available water surpluses into infiltration and surface run-off, the MECP infiltration factor was used. The MECP *Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual* (MOE, 2003) methodology for calculating total infiltration based on topography, soil type and land cover was used, and a corresponding run-off component was calculated for the soil moisture storage conditions. The infiltration factor calculated for the Site is included in Table 7.

Table 7 Infiltration Factor

Category	Infiltration Factor
Topography	Rolling land = 0.2
Soils	Predominantly silty sand till = 0.25
Cover	Woodland and cultivated land = 0.15
Total	0.60

The calculation of infiltration and runoff in the stages of pre-development and postdevelopment is provided in Appendix E, and are presented in Table 8 through Table 11, below.

7.3 Pre-Development Water Balance

The water balance for the existing conditions of the Site is summarized in Table 8. The pre-development infiltration rate was calculated to be about 11,469 m³/yr and the runoff rate was about 7,880 m³/yr.



Laı	nd Use	Area (m²)	Precipitation (m³)	Evapo- transpiration (m³)	Infiltration (m ³)	Run-off (m³)
Impervious	Paved Area	120	125	12	-	112
Areas	Roof Area	130	135	14	-	122
Pervious Area	Landscaped Area	38,305	39,876	20,761	11,469	7,646
Total		38,555	40,136	20,787	11,469	7,880

Table 8 Pre-Development Water Balance

Assuming no infiltration occurring in paved and roof areas, and 10% of precipitation to be evaporated from paved and roof areas.

7.4 Post-Development Water Balance

The post-development water balance is summarized in Table 9. The post-development infiltration rate was calculated to be approximately 1,388 m³/yr and the runoff volume was about 32,705 m³/yr.

Table 9 Post-Development Water Balance

Land Use		Area (m²)	Precipitation (m³)	Evapo- transpiration (m³)	Infiltration (m³)	Run- off (m³)
Impervious	Paved Area	9,340	9,723	972	-	8,751
Areas	Roof Area	24,580	25,588	2,559	-	23,029
Pervious Area	Landscaped Area	4,635	4,825	2,512	1,388	925
Т	otal	38,555	40,136	6,043	1,388	32,705
Assuming no infiltration occurring in paved and roof areas, and 10% of precipitation to be evaporated from paved and roof areas.						

7.5 Water Balance Comparison

The water balances of the pre-development and post-development scenarios are summarized below in Table 10.



	Precipitation (m³)	Evapotranspiration (m³)	Infiltration (m³)	Run-off (m³)
Pre-Development	40,136	20,787	11,469	7,880
Post-Development	40,136	6,043	1,388	32,705
Change in Volume	-	14,744	10,081	24,825
Change in %	-	71	88	315

Table 10 Comparison of Pre- and Post Development Water Balance

Based on the above, there is an infiltration deficit of about 10,081 m³/year compared to the pre-development infiltration. The runoff rate upon development of the Site was increased by about 24,825 m³/year.

As shown below in Table 11, approximately 44% of roof runoff is required to compensate the pre-development infiltration.

Table 11 Requirement of Infiltration from Roof Run-off

Volume of Pre-Development Infiltration (m ³ /year)	11,469
Volume of Post-Development Infiltration (m ³ /year)	1,388
Deficit from Pre to Post-Development Infiltration (m ³ /year)	10,081
% of Roof Runoff required to match the Pre-Development Infiltration	44

Based on the above calculations, a summary of the water balance could be provided as follows:

- There is a net increase in run-off at the Site of about 24,825 m³/year, from 7,880 m³/year to 32,705 m³/year. This increase is a result of the development of the Site with more impervious areas such as roof and paved areas and decrease in pervious areas.
- 2. Post-development landscape area was decreased by about 33,670 m², when compared to the pre-development landscape, causing less infiltration and more run-off across the Site.
- Without implementing any mitigation measures, there is a net deficit of about 10,080 m³ /year in the post-development infiltration on a yearly basis.
- 4. Based on the estimation, a diversion of 44% of general roof water for infiltration would allow the proposed development to maintain an enhanced infiltration after the development.



Therefore, Cambium would recommend the implementation of any Low Impact Development (LID) measures at the Site in its present design, in order to compensate the infiltration deficit caused due to the Site development.

7.6 Discussions on LID Measures

It is known that low impact development (LID) practices have received increasing attention as these strategies attempt to capture the runoff and mimic the natural hydrologic cycle.

In general, there are two primary categories of LIDs. The first promotes the infiltration of Stormwater close to the source, besides reducing runoff and to improve the water quality. These infiltration type LIDs are preferred when hydrogeological and physical conditions are optimal and allow for their emplacement. The proposed development does include a SWMP, primarily to maintain water quality and also to enhance the lost infiltration due to the Site development with paved and roof areas. A SWMP will likely not encompass the entire infiltration deficit; therefore, the second option described below should also be considered.

The second option captures and slowly releases the water to the groundwater system through the process of storage and filtration by infiltration LIDs. Infiltration targets may be achieved through the incorporation of a variety of stormwater management practices including reduced lot grading, roof downspout disconnection, roof leaders discharging to ponding areas or soak away pits, infiltration trenches, grassed swales etc.

The conceptual water balance indicates that there will be an infiltration deficit of about 10,080 m³/year in the post-development infiltration upon development of the Site, compared to the pre-development. Based on the estimation, a diversion of 44% of the general roof water for infiltration would allow the proposed development to maintain an enhanced infiltration after the development.

Given the proposed design by the proponent, there is enough space available for the implementation of LID measures, either by means of infiltration galleries or infiltration trenches or any other suitable means. It should be noted that the minimum distance between the bottom elevation of an infiltration LID feature and the maximum elevation of the water table should be



1.0 m. Due to the presence of deep water table conditions across the property, the implementation of LID features is feasible at the Site. As Cambium has not been provided any design of LID facilities, it would be beneficial to consult with design engineers for the LID design recommendations.

In-situ infiltration testing, if requested will be completed as a supplementary investigation to determine infiltration rates expected in specific areas of the Site and to aid the detailed design process of the LID measures.



8.0 Source Water Protection and Risk Management

As per the South Georgian Bay Lake Simcoe Source Protection Plan (SBSLS SPR, 2021), the Site is located within a HVA (Appendix A).

8.1 Highly Vulnerable Aquifer Area

The extreme southwestern and southeastern corners of the Site are located within an HVA.

An HVA is an aquifer that can be easily changed or affected by contamination from both human activities and natural processes. This is a result of preferential pathways to the aquifer or the areas intrinsic susceptibility as a function of the thickness and permeability of the overlying soils. In Ontario, a HVA is defined as having an Intrinsic Susceptibility Index (ISI) of less than 30. In general, an HVA will consist of granular materials (e.g., sand and/or gravel) or fractured rock that has a high permeability and is near the surface of the ground. It is important to protect highly vulnerable areas to prevent drinking water contamination.

The land use practices at the proposed development Site are not expected to cause any contamination to the water resources as it is assumed that there are no chemicals, fertilizers, or petroleum hydrocarbons proposed to be stored at or handled on Site. However, the proposed location of SWMP should be reconsidered as it is situated within the HVA.



9.0 Assessment of Potential Impacts

Based on the information available, the proposed residential development consists of detached, semi-detached and townhouse units, a SWMP, and associated infrastructure including paved driveways, landscape areas, walkways, and on-grade surface level parking. The potential hydrogeological impacts due to the proposed Site development are summarized below.

9.1 Natural Features

Although there are no mapped woodlands, wetlands, or waterbodies at the Site; there is an unevaluated mapped wetland located to the northeast of the Site and therefore set-back distances or buffer zones as prescribed by the Nottawasaga Valley Conservation Authority should be followed to protect the natural features. The Site is not situated in a regulated area as per the NVCA regulated areas mapping and therefore, development restrictions do not apply to the proposed development.

9.2 Water Supply Wells near the Site

It is assumed that each residential unit will have a basement level; however, based on the measured groundwater levels, dewatering activities are not required for the proposed development and therefore there will be no impacts on water quantity for water supply wells in the area. However, we recommend to undertake all construction activities during peak summer to late winter to avoid any potential short-term dewatering. Moreover, it is assumed that all the properties surrounding the Site have access to municipal water supply. As such, no impacts are anticipated on the local groundwater users.

9.3 Considerations on Drinking Water Vulnerability

Based on the MECP Source Protection Information Atlas, the Site is situated within a HVA and therefore potential contaminants discharged from the Site could ultimately end up in the municipal drinking water supply. However, based on the nature of the proposed development,



there is a low likelihood of run-off from the Site containing contaminants at concentrations that could pose a risk to the municipal water intake.

Best Management Practices (BMPs) should be implemented so as to avoid the overland flow of any contaminants from the Site to the natural environment. Section 8.0 of this report has additional details on the issue of source water protection.



10.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

Cambium Inc. (Cambium) was retained by 1000239074 Ontario Inc. (the Client) to complete a hydrogeological assessment of the property located at 1255 Fuller Avenue, Penetanguishene, Ontario.

The Site is not within a regulated area as per the Severn Sound SPA and therefore, development restrictions do not apply to the proposed development. There are no mapped wetlands or watercourses at the Site; the majority of the Site is mapped as a woodland.

Spring seasonal water level monitoring (from March to June of 2023) was completed to capture the seasonal high water table conditions across the Site. The measured groundwater levels in the monitoring wells during the spring monitoring event ranged in depth from 2.56 mbgs to 6.02 mbgs, while the elevations were between 226.77 masl to 230.67 masl. Therefore, the spring high water level and elevation were 2.56 mbgs and 230.67 masl, respectively.

Groundwater flow was found to be to the southeast towards St. Andrews Lake and ultimately into the Lake Huron.

The calculated hydraulic conductivities based off the established relationship with percolation rate ranged between 2.01 x 10^{-7} m/sec and 6.17 x 10^{-6} m/sec.

No major short-term construction dewatering, or installation of long-term sub-drain drainage, is anticipated at the Site based on the groundwater levels measured. Except the water levels measured in April and May near the SWM pond area, the rest of the Site has deeper water table conditions and therefore, it is not anticipated that excavations will intercept the water table at the Site.

The conceptual water balance indicates that there will be an infiltration deficit upon development of the Site in the order of about 10,080 m³/year. It is Cambium's opinion that the infiltration deficit can be accommodated for the proposed post-development plan if roof runoff is directed into a suite of LID measures (i.e. infiltration trench/gallery, roof downspout disconnection, etc.).



The LID measures can easily be implemented due to the fact that the deep water table conditions (> 5 mbgs) were encountered at most of the proposed development area.

The Site is situated with a HVA and therefore, any contaminants discharged from the Site may ultimately end up in the municipal drinking water supply. However, based on the nature of the proposed development, there is a low likelihood of run-off from the Site containing contaminants at concentrations that could pose a significant risk to the municipal water intake. Best Management Practices (BMPs) should be implemented so as to avoid the overland flow of any contaminants to the natural environment.



11.0 Closing

We trust that the information in this submission meets your current requirements. If you have any questions regarding the contents of this report, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Cambium Inc.

Nicole Heikoop, M.Sc., GIT Project Coordinator

0

Sudhakar Kurli, M.Sc., P.Geo. Project Manager/Hydrogeologist

NH/sk

P:\16500 to 16599\16599-001 1000239074 Ont Inc - Angelo Lavinio - GEO & HydroG - 1255 Fuller Ave, Penetanguishene\Deliverables\REPORT - HydroG\Final\2023-08-15 RPT - HydroG - 1255 Fuller Ave. docx



12.0 References

- Cambium. (2023). *Geotechnical Investigation Report 1255 Fuller Avenue, Penetanguishene, Ontario.* Cambium Inc.
- Chapman, L.J. and D.F. Putnam. (1984). *The Physiography of Southern Ontario: Ontario Geological Survey, Special Volume 2.*
- MOE. (2003). *Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual.* Ministry of the Environment.
- OGS. (2007). *Paleozoic Geology of Southern Ontario.* Ontario Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Release - Data 219.
- OGS. (2010). Surficial geology of Southern Ontario; Miscellaneous Release Data 128 -Revised. Ontario Geological Survey.
- OMMAH. (1997). Supplementary Guidelines to the Ontario Building Code SG-6 Percolation Time and Soil Descriptions. Toronto, Ontario: Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing.
- SBSLS SPR. (2021). *Approved South Georgian Bay Lake Simcoe Source Protection Plan.* South Georgian Bay Lake Simcoe Source Protection Region.



13.0 Standard Limitations

Limited Warranty

In performing work on behalf of a client, Cambium relies on its client to provide instructions on the scope of its retainer and, on that basis, Cambium determines the precise nature of the work to be performed. Cambium undertakes all work in accordance with applicable accepted industry practices and standards. Unless required under local laws, other than as expressly stated herein, no other warranties or conditions, either expressed or implied, are made regarding the services, work or reports provided.

Reliance on Materials and Information

The findings and results presented in reports prepared by Cambium are based on the materials and information provided by the client to Cambium and on the facts, conditions and circumstances encountered by Cambium during the performance of the work requested by the client. In formulating its findings and results into a report, Cambium assumes that the information and materials provided by the client or obtained by Cambium from the client or otherwise are factual, accurate and represent a true depiction of the circumstances that exist. Cambium relies on its client to inform Cambium if there are changes to any such information and materials. Cambium does not review, analyze or attempt to verify the accuracy or completeness of the information or materials provided, or circumstances encountered, other than in accordance with applicable accepted industry practice. Cambium will not be responsible for matters arising from incomplete, incorrect or misleading information or from facts or circumstances that are not fully disclosed to or that are concealed from Cambium during the provision of services, work or reports.

Facts, conditions, information and circumstances may vary with time and locations and Cambium's work is based on a review of such matters as they existed at the particular time and location indicated in its reports. No assurance is made by Cambium that the facts, conditions, information, circumstances or any underlying assumptions made by Cambium in connection with the work performed will not change after the work is completed and a report is submitted. If any such changes occur or additional information is obtained, Cambium should be advised and requested to consider if the changes or additional information affect its findings or results.

When preparing reports, Cambium considers applicable legislation, regulations, governmental guidelines and policies to the extent they are within its knowledge, but Cambium is not qualified to advise with respect to legal matters. The presentation of information regarding applicable legislation, regulations, governmental guidelines and policies is for information only and is not intended to and should not be interpreted as constituting a legal opinion concerning the work completed or conditions outlined in a report. All legal matters should be reviewed and considered by an appropriately qualified legal practitioner.

Site Assessments

A site assessment is created using data and information collected during the investigation of a site and based on conditions encountered at the time and particular locations at which fieldwork is conducted. The information, sample results and data collected represent the conditions only at the specific times at which and at those specific locations from which the information, samples and data were obtained and the information, sample results and data may vary at other locations and times. To the extent that Cambium's work or report considers any locations or times other than those from which information, sample results and data was specifically received, the work or report is based on a reasonable extrapolation from such information, sample results and data but the actual conditions encountered may vary from those extrapolations.

Only conditions at the site and locations chosen for study by the client are evaluated; no adjacent or other properties are evaluated unless specifically requested by the client. Any physical or other aspects of the site chosen for study by the client, or any other matter not specifically addressed in a report prepared by Cambium, are beyond the scope of the work performed by Cambium and such matters have not been investigated or addressed.

Reliance

Cambium's services, work and reports may be relied on by the client and its corporate directors and officers, employees, and professional advisors. Cambium is not responsible for the use of its work or reports by any other party, or for the reliance on, or for any decision which is made by any party using the services or work performed by or a report prepared by Cambium without Cambium's express written consent. Any party that relies on services or work performed by Cambium or a report prepared by Cambium without Cambium's express written consent, does so at its own risk. No report of Cambium may be disclosed or referred to in any public document without Cambium's express prior written consent. Cambium specifically disclaims any liability or responsibility to any such party for any loss, damage, expense, fine, penalty or other such thing which may arise or result from the use of any information, recommendation or other matter arising from the services, work or reports provided by Cambium.

Limitation of Liability

Potential liability to the client arising out of the report is limited to the amount of Cambium's professional liability insurance coverage. Cambium shall only be liable for direct damages to the extent caused by Cambium's negligence and/or breach of contract. Cambium shall not be liable for consequential damages.

Personal Liability

The client expressly agrees that Cambium employees shall have no personal liability to the client with respect to a claim, whether in contract, tort and/or other cause of action in law. Furthermore, the client agrees that it will bring no proceedings nor take any action in any court of law against Cambium employees in their personal capacity.



Appended Figures



24GISMXDs146600-16599165599-001 1000239074 Ord Inc - Angelo Lavinio - GEO & HydroG - 1255 Fuller Ave, Penetarguishene2022-12-21 FIG 1- Regional Site Locatio















Appendix A Proposed Development Plan and Land Information



TIAL T	WO (R2) ZONE: SIN	GLE DETACHED
ns	Required	Provided
/elling .2.1)	Permitted	Permitted
tage	15.00m	12.02m
	460.00m ²	351.59m ²
ırd	6.00m	> = 6.00m
Side	1.20m	> = 1.20m
Side	4.50m	> = 4.50m
rd	7.50m	> = 7.50m
to	6.00m	> = 6.00m
	11.00m	< = 11.00m
erage	35%	> = 35%
king)	2 parking spaces / dwelling unit	2 parking spaces / dwelling unit
	WO (R2) ZONE: SEI	MI - DETACHED
ns	Required	Provided
velling .2.1)	Permitted	Permitted
tage	11.00m / unit	11.00m
	330.00m ² / unit	378.80m ²
ırd	6.00m	> = 6.00m
Side	1.20m	> = 1.20m
Side	4.50m	> = 4.50m
rd	7.50m	> = 7.50m
to	6.00m	> = 6.00m
	11.00m	< = 11.00m
erage	35%	> = 35%

)	dwelling unit	aweiling unit

ENTIAL THREE (R3) ZONE: TOWNHOSUE								
ns	Required	Provided						
elling 2.1)	Not Permitted	Permitted						
tage	7.50m	7.50m						
	220.00m ²	213.97m ²						
rd	6.00m / unit	> = 6.00m						
Side	0.00m	0.00m						
Side	4.50m	> = 4.50m						
rd	7.50m	> = 7.50m						
to	6.00m	> = 6.00m						
	11.00m	< = 11.00m						
erage	35%	> = 35%						
king)	2 parking spaces / dwelling unit	2 parking spaces / dwelling unit						

KEY MAP		24	n.t.s.
Byyneid	Part	Gandy Bay Ro.	
		5 Comment	Pressour N
Penetang Harbour	Broad St.		
		SUBJE	ECT SITE
			St Andrews I
		Tuller	
		Ne.	his
	R ROAD	bridge St.	
		ΡΙ ΔΝ	
U	Topographic Plan of		
	of Part of Lot B1, Registere (Geographic Townshi	ed Plan No. 69 p of Tay)	
	Town of Penetangu County of Simo	ishene, oe	
	Scale		
		30 40	50m
0	10 20	30 40	50m
LEGEND			
SUBJECT I	_ANDS (38,555.09m ² / 3	.855ha)	
I HEREBY AUTHORI	ZE INNOVATIVE PLAN	NING SOLUTIONS	TO PREPARE
THIS DRAFT PLAN (SUBDIVISION FOR /	OF SUBDIVISION AND APPROVAL.	SUBMIT THIS DRA	FT PLAN OF
DATE	YORK	CAPITAL PROPER	RTIES INC.
SURVEYOR'S CERT			
I CERTIFY THAT TH	E BOUNDARIES OF TH	E LAND TO BE SU	
CORRECTLY SHOW	HP TO ADJACENT LAN /N.	DS ARE ACCURA	FELY AND
DATE	J. EVE	EN, O.L.S.	
ADDITIONAL INFOR	MATION REQUIRED U	NDER SECTION 51	I(17) OF THE
PLANNING ACT			
a) SHOWN ON PLAN b) SHOWN ON PLAN	N g) SHOWN (N h) MUNICIPA	ON PLAN AL WATER	
c) SEE KEY PLAN d) RESIDENTIAL	i) SAND, SIL i) SHOWN (T GLACIAL TILL	
e) SHOWN ON PLAN	k) MUNICIPA	AL WATER & SEWA	AGE
LAND USE	LAND USE STAT		AREA (ha)
Single - Detached Residential	1 - 20, 23 - 29	27	1.341
Semi - Detached	21 - 22	4	0.226
Standard Townhouse	30 - 35	33	0.891
Walk-way / Servicing	36		0.018
S.W.M. Pond	37		0.463
TOTAL	37	64	3.855
	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\mathbf{N}	
	NERS · PROJECT MAN	AGERS · LAND D	EVELOPERS

647 WELHAM ROAD, UNIT 9, BARRIE, ON, L4N 0B7 : 705 • 812 • 3281 fax: 705 • 812 • 3438 e: info@ipsconsultinginc.com www.ipsconsultinginc.com

August 1, 2023 Date:

23 - 1314

File:

Drawn By: A.S. Checked: J.A. / K.B.



S:\CT JOBSANDCARDING\SUBDIVISIONS AND CONDOS\12194 (RICHARD -TOPO) 1255 FULLER AVE\12194-TOPO.DWG





Zoning Map

LEGEND	
Residential First Density Residential Second Density Residential Third Density Residential Multiple Density Residential First Density Special Rural Residential Rural Residential Estate Shoreline Rural Residential One Shoreline Rural Residential Two Limited Services Rural Residential	R1 R2 R3 RM R1S RR RE SR1 SR2 LSR
Commercial General Commercial Neighbourhood Commercial Marine One Commercial Marine Two	CG CN CM1 CM2
Industrial Services Storage and Light Manufacturing Yard Storage and Heavy Manufacturing Industrial Packaging Extractive Industrial Rural Industrial	M1 M2 M3 M4 M5 M6
Rural	RU
Institutional	G
Open Space	OS
Deferred Development	D
Environmental Protection	EP
Lake Side	LS
	ZONE BOUNDARY TOWN BOUNDARY

LIST OF REVISIONS

JULY 23, 2003 – MODIFICATIONS DONE BY M.LEFAIVE DECEMBER 22, 2004 – MODIFICATIONS DONE BY M.LEFAIVE DECEMBER 20, 2006 – MODIFICATIONS DONE BY D. WALTER JANUARY 5, 2009 – MODIFICATIONS DONE BY M. MURRAY MAY 13, 2011 – MODIFICATIONS DONE BY M. MURRAY JANUARY 9, 2012 – MODIFICATIONS DONE BY G. DEVILLERS



SPIA Map



Ontario 🐨 © King's Printer for Ontario, 2023





Appendix B MECP Well Records

Water Well Records Summary Report Produced by Cambium Inc. using MOECP Water Well Information System (WWIS)

All units in meters unless otherwise specified



Well ID: 5703915 Construction Date: 1965-11-23	Easting: 585478 Northing: 4960814 Well Depth: 53.6 Well Diameter (cm): 15.2 Water First Found: 51.8 Static Level: 41		UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: margin of error : 100 m - 300 m					
			Water Kind Final Status Primary Water Use: 1		FRESH Water Supply Domestic	Pump Rate (LPM): Recommended Pump Rate: Pumping Duration (h:m):	45 e: 45 3 : 0	
	Layer:	Driller's Description:	Тор:	Bottom:				
	1	TOPSOIL	0	0.30				
	2	CLAY	0.30	12.2				
	3	MEDIUM SAND	12.2	24.4				
	4	FINE SAND	24.4	51.8				
	5	COARSE SAND	51.8	53.6				
Well ID: 5703916 Construction Date: 1965-11-23	Easting: Northing	585274 g: 4960588	UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: r		margin of error :	100 m - 300 m		
	Well Dep Well Dia Water Fi Static Le	oth: 53.0 meter (cm): 15.2 rst Found: 51.5 vel: 40	Water Kin Final Statu Primary W	d ıs /ater Use:	FRESH Water Supply Domestic	Pump Rate (LPM): Recommended Pump Rate: Pumping Duration (h:m):	45 45 1 : 30	
	Layer:	Driller's Description:	Тор:	Bottom:				
	1	TOPSOIL	0	0.30				
	2	MEDIUM SAND	0.30	5.49				
	3	GRAVEL	5.49	20.7				
	4	MEDIUM SAND	20.7	48.2				
	5	COARSE SAND	48.2	51.5				
	6	GRAVEL	51.5	53.0				
Well ID: 5703917 Construction Date: 1967-01-31	Easting: 585487 Northing: 4961024		UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: margin of error : 100 m - 300 m			100 m - 300 m		
	Well Dep Well Dia Water Fi Static Le	oth: 59.4 meter (cm): 15.2 rst Found: 56.4 vel: 53	Water Kin Final Statu Primary W	d ıs /ater Use:	FRESH Water Supply Domestic	Pump Rate (LPM): Recommended Pump Rate: Pumping Duration (h:m):	23 23 3 : 0	
	Layer:	Driller's Description:	Тор:	Bottom:				
	1	TOPSOIL	0	0.30				
	2	MEDIUM SAND	0.30	3.05				
	3	MEDIUM SAND	3.05	51.8				

Well ID: 5706078 Construction Date: 1969-02-11	Easting: 585414 Northing: 4960324		UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: margin of error : 30 m - 100 m				
	Well Deptl Well Diam Water Firs Static Leve	h: 9.14 eter (cm): 12.7 t Found: 8.53 el: 5	Water Kin Final Statu Primary W	d Is Vater Use:	FRESH Water Supply Domestic	Pump Rate (LPM): Recommended Pump Rate: Pumping Duration (h:m):	23 1:0
	Layer: D	riller's Description:	Тор:	Bottom:			
	1	TOPSOIL	0	0.61			
	2 3	CLAY MEDIUM SAND	0.61 8.53	8.53 9.14			
Well ID: 5708631 Construction Date: 1972-02-14	Easting: 585389 Northing: 4960421		UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: mai		margin of error :	300 m - 1 km	
	Well Deptl Well Diam Water Firs Static Leve	h: 45.1 eter (cm): 15.2 t Found: 44.2 el: 38	Water Kin Final Statu Primary W	d ıs 'ater Use:	FRESH Water Supply Domestic	Pump Rate (LPM): Recommended Pump Rate: Pumping Duration (h:m):	45 45 2 : 30
	Layer: D	riller's Description:	Тор:	Bottom:			
	1	TOPSOIL	0	0.61			
	2	GRAVEL	0.61	44.2			
	3	GRAVEL	44.2	45.1			
Well ID: 5710051 Construction Date: 1973-08-15	Easting: 585277 Northing: 4960499		UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: margin of er		margin of error :	300 m - 1 km	
	Well Deptl Well Diam Water Firs Static Leve	h: 59.1 eter (cm): 12.7 t Found: 57.9 sl: 41	Water Kin Final Statu Primary W	d ıs ⁄ater Use:	Not stated Water Supply Domestic	Pump Rate (LPM): Recommended Pump Rate: Pumping Duration (h:m):	114 68 2 : 0
	Layer: D	riller's Description:	Тор:	Bottom:			
	1	SAND	0	7.32			
	2	GRAVEL	7.32	17.1			
	3	SAND	17.1	40.2			
	4	MEDIUM SAN	D 40.2	42.7			
	5	SAND	42.7	51.2			
	6	MEDIUM SAND	51.2	59.1			

Well ID: 5713245 Construction Date: 1976-07-08	Easting:585524Northing:4960424Well Depth:61Well Diameter (cm):15.2Water First Found:50.3Static Level:36		UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: margin of error : 100 m - 300 m				
			Water Kind Final Status Primary Water Use:		FRESH Water Supply Domestic	Pump Rate (LPM): Recommended Pump Rate: Pumping Duration (h:m):	18 18 5:0
	Layer: D	Priller's Description:	Тор:	Bottom:			
	1	SAND	0	56.1			
	2	CLAY	56.1	61			
Well ID: 5714447 Construction Date: 1977-08-08	Easting: 5 Northing:	85714 4960624	UTM Zone Positional	e 17 Accuracy:	margin of error :	100 m - 300 m	
	Well Dept Well Diam Water Firs Static Leve	h: 64.3 neter (cm): 15.2 ht Found: 62.2 el: 37	Water Kin Final Statu Primary W	d Js /ater Use:	FRESH Water Supply Domestic	Pump Rate (LPM): Recommended Pump Rate: Pumping Duration (h:m):	9 18 3:0
	Layer: D	Oriller's Description:	Тор:	Bottom:			
	1	OVERBURDEN	0	2.44			
	2	HARDPAN	2.44	14.0			
	3	SAND	14.0	49.4			
	4	COARSE SAND	49.4	53.0			
	5	SAND	53.0	64.3			
Well ID: 5716422 Construction Date: 1979-11-28	Easting: 585464 Northing: 4960424		UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: margin of error : 100 m - 300 m				
	Well Dept Well Diam Water Firs Static Leve	h: 71.0 leter (cm): 15.2 lt Found: 38.1 el: 38	Water Kin Final Statı Primary W	d Js /ater Use:	FRESH Water Supply Domestic	Pump Rate (LPM): Recommended Pump Rate: Pumping Duration (h:m):	91 55 3 : 0
	Laver: D	Oriller's Description:	Top:	Bottom:			
	1	SAND	0	11			
	2	SAND	11	42.7			
	3	MEDIUM SAND	42.7	58.2			
	4	SAND	58.2	59.7			
	5	CLAY	59.7	66.1			
	6	LIMESTONE	66.1	68.6			
	7	LIMESTONE	68.6	71.0			
Well ID: 5717647 Construction Date: 1981-10-13	Easting: 5 Northing:	85764 4961074	UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: margin of error : 100 m - 300 m				
	Well Dept Well Diam Water Firs Static Leve	h: 53.0 eter (cm): 15.2 et Found: 53.0 el: 40	Water Kind Final Status Primary Water Use:		FRESH Water Supply Domestic	Pump Rate (LPM): Recommended Pump Rate: Pumping Duration (h:m):	23 23 2:0
	Layer: D	oriller's Description:	Тор:	Bottom:			
	1	OVERBURDEN	0	15.9			
			45.0	20.2			
	2	HARDPAN	15.9	29.3			

Well ID: 5718418 Construction Date: 1983-03-16	Easting: 585664 Northing: 4960474		UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: unknown UTM				
Well Depth: Well Diameter Water First For Static Level:		eter (cm): 50.3 Found: 50.3 1: 40	Water Kinc Final Statu Primary W	l s ater Use:	FRESH Water Supply Domestic	Pump Rate (LPM): Recommended Pump Rate: Pumping Duration (h:m):	27 27 1:0
	Layer: D	riller's Description:	Тор:	Bottom:			
	1	OVERBURDEN	0	4.27			
	2	SAND	4.27	12.8			
	3	SAND	12.8	50.3			
Well ID: 5725105 Construction Date: 1989-07-18	Easting: 585318 Northing: 4960713		UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: margin of error : 10 -		10 - 30 m		
	Well Depth Well Diame Water First Static Leve	eter (cm): 64.0 Found: 15.2 Found: 61.9 I: 43	Water Kinc Final Statu Primary W	l s ater Use:	FRESH Water Supply Municipal	Pump Rate (LPM): Recommended Pump Rate: Pumping Duration (h:m):	455 455 2 : 0
	Layer: D	riller's Description:	Тор:	Bottom:			
	1	TOPSOIL	0	0.30			
	2	SAND	0.30	1.22			
	3	GRAVEL	1.22	2.44			
	4	GRAVEL	2.44	23.2			
	5	CLAY	23.2	32			
	6	GRAVEL	32	51.8			
	7	SAND	51.8	64.0			
Well ID: 5727044 Construction Date: 1990-08-28	Easting: 585477 Northing: 4960446		UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: margin of error : 10 - 30 m			10 - 30 m	
	Well Depth Well Diame Water First Static Leve	:: 58.8 eter (cm): 15.2 : Found: 53.3 l: 46	Water Kinc Final Statu Primary W	l s ater Use:	FRESH Water Supply Domestic	Pump Rate (LPM): Recommended Pump Rate: Pumping Duration (h:m):	36 36 1:0
	Layer: D	riller's Description:	Тор:	Bottom:			
	1	TOPSOIL	0	0.30			
	2	SAND	0.30	7.01			
	3	FINE SAND	7.01	26.5			
	4	SAND	26.5	48.5			
	5	SAND	48.5	58.8			
Well ID: 5728101 Construction Date: 1991-06-17	Easting: 58 Northing: 4	35339 4960722	UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy:		margin of error :	10 - 30 m	
	Well Depth Well Diame Water First Static Leve	eter (cm): 20.3 Found: 61.9 I: 43	Water Kinc Final Statu Primary W	l s ater Use:	FRESH Water Supply Municipal	Pump Rate (LPM): Recommended Pump Rate: Pumping Duration (h:m):	364 318 2:0
	Layer: D	riller's Description:	Тор:	Bottom:			
	1	TOPSOIL	0	0.61			

	3 4 5	GRAVEL CLAY SAND	1.22 32 51.8	32 51.8 64.0			
Well ID: 5737288 Construction Date: 2002-10-10	Easting: 585707 Northing: 4960744		UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: mar		margin of error :	100 m - 300 m	
	Well Depth:64.0Well Diameter (cm):12.7Water First Found:59.4Static Level:15		Water Kind Final Status Primary Water Use:		FRESH Water Supply Domestic	Pump Rate (LPM): Recommended Pump Rate: Pumping Duration (h:m):	18 18 4:0
	Layer:	Driller's Description:	Тор:	Bottom:			
	1	CLAY	0	9.14			
	2	CLAY	9.14	33.5			
	3	SILT	33.5	51.8			
	4	SAND	51.8	64			

Well ID: 5739504 Construction Date: 2005-01-21	Easting: 585662 Northing: 4960953 Well Depth: Well Diameter (cm): Water First Found: Static Level: Layer: Driller's Description:	UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: unknown UTM Water Kind Pump Rate (LPM): Final Status Abandoned-Ot Primary Water Use: Not Used Pumping Duration (h:m): Top: Bottom:
Well ID: 5739506 Construction Date: 2005-01-21	Easting: 585658 Northing: 4960948 Well Depth: Well Diameter (cm): 5.2 Water First Found: Static Level: Layer: Driller's Description:	UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: unknown UTM Water Kind Pump Rate (LPM): Final Status Observation W Primary Water Use: Not Used Pumping Duration (h:m): Top: Bottom:
Well ID: 7196330 Construction Date: 2013-01-30	Easting: 585647Northing: 4961114Well Depth:53.6Well Diameter (cm):15.2Water First Found:52.7Static Level:52Layer:Driller's Description:1TOPSOIL2SAND3SAND4SAND	UTM Zone 17 Positional Accuracy: margin of error : $30 \text{ m} - 100 \text{ m}$ Water Kind Final StatusFRESH Water Supply DomesticPump Rate (LPM):23 Recommended Pump Rate:23 Pumping Duration (h:m):1Top:Bottom: 00.301:1:1:Top:Bottom: 45.753.053.053.61



Appendix C Borehole Logs



Contractor: Walker Drilling Location: 1255 Fuller Avenue Project No.: 16599-001

SUBSURFACE PROFILE

Client: 1000239074 Ontario Inc. Project Name: 1255 Fuller Avenue, Penetanguishene, ON Log of Borehole:

Method: Track Mounted Hollow Stem Auger

Page:

Date Completed:

BH101-22 1 of 1 Nov 24, 2022

Elevation: 232.53 mASL

UTM: 17T N: 4960515 E: 585286 SAMPLE

Elevation	(m) Depth	Lithology	Description Elevation Depth	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N)	% Moisture 25 50 75	Shear Strength Cu, kPa 20 40 60 80 SPT (N) 20 40 60 80	Well Installation	Log Notes
232.5-	⊤⁰		TOPSOIL TOPSOIL (~150mm	1A	SS			20.3%		Cap	
232	+ + 0.5		thick) 232.38 (SW) gravely SAND: some silt, trace, clay; brown; non-cohesive, moist, loose 0.15	1B	SS	60	4	10.6%	•		
231.5-	+ +1		- compact	2	SS	70	11	9.6 %	•	Bentonite Plug	
231	- 1.5 -			3	SS	70	27	5.3%	• ²⁷	Riser	
230.5-	2							-			
230	- 2.5 -		- dense	4	SS	80	35	9.5%	• ³⁵		
229.5-	-3		229.48					1			
229	- 3.5		some gravel; brown; non-cohesive, moist, compact to very dense	5	SS	80	11	9.8%	● ¹¹		
228.5-	4									Sand Pack	
228	+ 4.5 +		- verv dense					9.9%	_ 57	PVC Screen	
227.5-	5		,	6	SS	80	57				
227	- 5.5										
226.5-	6		226.18	7	SS	70	73	6.6%	•73	Сар	No groundwater encountered during monitoring event on December 8, 2022
226	6.5		Borehole Terminated @ 6.4m ^{6.35} Due to Practical Refusal								
225.6									GRAIN DISTRIBU	ISIZE <u>SAMPLE GRAVEL</u> FION SS3 23	SAND SILT CLAY 61 15 1
Logo	ed Bv:	WA	Input Bv: WA						Peterborouah	, Barrie, Oshawa	, Kingston, Ottawa



Client: 1000239074 Ontario Inc. Contractor: Walker Drilling Location: 1255 Fuller Avenue Project No.: 16599-001

Client: 1000239074 Ontario Inc. Project Name: 1255 Fuller Avenue, Penetanguishene, ON Log of Borehole:

Method: Track Mounted Hollow Stem Auger

N Log of Borehole: Page:

Date Completed:

BH102-22 1 of 1 Nov 24, 2022

Elevation: 233.92 mASL

UTM: 17T N: 4960568 E: 585389





Client: 1000239074 Ontario Inc. Contractor: Walker Drilling Location: 1255 Fuller Avenue Project No.: 16599-001

Client: 1000239074 Ontario Inc. Project Name: 1255 Fuller Avenue, Penetanguishene, ON Log of Borehole:

Method: Track Mounted Hollow Stem Auger

Page:

Date Completed:

BH103-22 1 of 1 Nov 25, 2022

Elevation: 236.56 mASL

UTM: 17T N: 4960584 E: 585270

	SUE	SURFACE PROFILE				SAMP	LE			
Elevation (m) Depth	Lithology	Description Elevation Depth	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N)	% Moisture 25 50 75	Shear Strength Cu, kPa 20 40 60 80 SPT (N) 20 40 60 80	Well Installation	Log Notes
236.6-0		TOPSOIL · TOPSOIL (~125mm	1A	SS]	1	22.2 %			
- 236.1 - 0.5		thick) 236.43 (SM) SILTY SAND: trace 0.13 organic matter; brown; non-cohesive, moist, loose	1B	ss	50	5	8.5%	•5		
- 235.61		235.8 (SM) SILTY SAND: trace gravel, trace clay; brown; non-cohesive, moist, dense	2	SS	60	32	5.4%	³²		
235.1 - 1.5							8.4%	28		
234.6-2		- compact	3	SS	70	28				
234.1 - 2.5		- very dense	4	SS	80	88	7.9%	• ⁸⁽	3	
233.63			5	ss	80	82	7.5 %	• ⁸²		
233.1 + 3.5							-			
232.6-4										
232.1 + 4.5			6	ss	80	76	10.9%	● ⁷⁶		
										Barabala subuluu
230.6 - 6		230.26 Borehole Terminated @ 6 2m ^{6,3}	7	SS	80	50	8.0%	● ⁵⁰		Borehole noted as open and dry upon completion
230.1 + 6.5		Due to Practical Refusal								
229.6				1				GRAIN DISTRIBU	SIZE <u>SAMPLE GRAVEL</u> FION SS4 3	SAND SILT CLAY 59 34 4
1m = 26 units	WA	Input By: WA						Peterborough	, Barrie, Oshawa	, Kingston, Ottawa



Contractor: Walker Drilling Location: 1255 Fuller Avenue Project No.: 16599-001

Client: 1000239074 Ontario Inc. Project Name: 1255 Fuller Avenue, Penetanguishene, ON Log of Borehole:

Method: Track Mounted Solid Stem Auger

BH104-22 Page:

1 of 1

Date CompletedNovember 25, 2022

UTM: 17T **N:** 4960604 E: 585206

Elevation: 235.85 mASL

SUBSURFACE PROFILE SAMPLE Shear Strength Cu, kPa nat V. Recovery 20 40 60 80 Elevation Lithology Number ŝ (m) Depth SPT (N) Well Type % Moisture SPT (Elevation Description Installation Log Notes % Depth 25 50 75 20 40 60 80 235.8--0 27.47 SS 1A TOPSOIL: TOPSOIL (~125mm 235.72 thick) 13.4% 40 4 0.13 (SM) SILTY SAND: some clay, trace organic matter; brown, moist, loose 1B SS 0.5 235.4 235.09 0.76 • • • • • (SM) SILTY SAND: trace . 234.8gravel, trace clay; brown, non-cohesive, moist, compact 17 1 9.7% 2 SS 60 17 . 234.4 1.5 •29 7.9% 3 SS 70 29 233.8--2 7.8% •²⁷ : 233.4 2.5 - some gravel 4 SS 80 27] 232.8-- 3 11.0% 11 - moist to wet • 5 SS 80 11 232.4 3.5 • 231.8--4 231.4 4.5 • • • • • •15 - brown to grey 9.7% 6 SS 90 15 . 230.8--5 230.4 5.5 • 229.8--6 1 Borehole noted as 8.0% 1100 - very dense 7 100 SS 90 open and dry upon completion ľ 229.4 6.5 229.3 Borehole Terminated @ 6.6m 6.55 Due to Practical Refusal 228.9 GRAINSIZE SAMPLE GRAVEL SAND SILT | CLAY DISTRIBUTION SS3 3 57 34 6 1m = 26 units

Logged By: WA

Peterborough, Barrie, Oshawa, Kingston, Ottawa



Client: 1000239074 Ontario Inc. Contractor: Walker Drilling Location: 1255 Fuller Avenue Project No.: 16599-001

Client: 1000239074 Ontario Inc. Project Name: 1255 Fuller Avenue, Penetanguishene, ON Log of Borehole:

Method: Track Mounted Solid Stem Auger

Page:

Date Completed:

BH105-22 1 of 1 Nov 25, 2022

Elevation: 236.59 mASL

UTM: 17T N: 4960691 E: 585256

		SUB	SURFACE PROFILE				SAMP	LE			
Elevation	(m) Depth	Lithology	Description Elevation Depth	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N)	% Moisture 25 50 75	Shear Strength Cu, kPa 20 40 60 80 SPT (N) 20 40 60 80	Well Installation	Log Notes
236.6-	0							35.0%		Can	
230.0-	T		TOPSOIL: TOPSOIL (~125mm thick) 236.46/	1A	SS			•	_		
236.1	- 0.5		(SM) SILTY SAND: trace clay, trace organic matter; brown, non-cohesive, moist, loose	1B	SS	60	5	11.9%	•		
	+		235.83 (CM) CILTY CAND: troop 0.76								
235.6-	+1		gravel; brown, non-cohesive, moist, dense	2	SS	80	49	5.4 %	49	Bentonite Plug	
235.1	- 15									Piper	
	-		- some gravel, brown to grey, compact	3	SS	80	28	8.6%	• ²⁸		
234.6-	²							-			
	Ť		- very dense	4	SS	70	56	6.4%	● ⁵⁶	$\langle \rangle$	
234.1	+ 2.5 +										
233.6-	-3							6.9%	50		
	+		- grey	5	SS	70	50	•	•		
233.1	- 3.5										
	Ť										
232.6-	† 4									Sand	
	Ť									Pack	
232.1	- 4.5		d					5.3%	58	PVC Screen	
·	†		- decreased gravel content	6	SS	80	58		•		
231.6-	+5										
	+										
231.1	- 5.5	 									
	+										
230.6-	+6									Сар	
	+			7		80	64	11.7%	64		No groundwater encountered during
230.1	- 6.5		230.04	/			04				monitoring event on December 8, 2022
220.6			Borehole Terminated @ 6.6m ^{6.55} Due to Practical Refusal								
223.0									GRAIN DISTRIBU	ISIZE <u>SAMPLE GRAVEL</u> TION	SAND SILT CLAY
1m	= 26 units										
Logg	jed By:	WA	Input By: WA						Peterborough	, Barrie, Oshawa	, Kingston, Ottawa



Client: 1000239074 Ontario Inc. Contractor: Walker Drilling Location: 1255 Fuller Avenue Project No.: 16599-001

Client: 1000239074 Ontario Inc. Project Name: 1255 Fuller Avenue, Penetanguishene, ON Log of Borehole:

Method: Track Mounted Solid Stem Auger

Page:

Date Completed:

BH106-22 1 of 1 Nov 25, 2022

Elevation: 234.5 mASL

UTM: 17T N: 4960723 E: 585322

	SUE	SURFACE PROFILE				SAMP	LE			
Elevation (m) Depth	Lithology	Description Elevation Depth	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N)	% Moisture 25 50 75	Shear Strength Cu, kPa 20 40 60 80 SPT (N) 20 40 60 80	Well Installation	Log Notes
234.50					[1	30.2%			
234 - 0.5		TOPSOIL: TOPSOIL (~125mm thick) 234.37/ (SM) SILTY SAND: trace clay, trace organic matter; brown, moist, loose 0.13	1A 1B	ss	50	5	15.2%	• ⁵		Borehole elevation is approximate, and inferred from topographic survey
- 233.51		233.74 (SM) SILTY SAND: trace clay, trace gravel; brown, non-cohesive, moist, compact	2	SS	70	28	7.9%	28 •		due to dense tree cover within the site not allowing for accurate measurement following completion
233 - 1.5							9.8%	28		of investigations
232.5-2			3	SS	70	28				
232 + 2.5		- brown to grey	4	ss	80	19	10.4%	● ¹⁹		
231.53		- decreased gravel content, grev					12.6%	29		
231 + 3.5			5	55	90	29				
230.54										
230 - 4.5		- very dense				87	12.6%	83		
229.5-5			0	35	60					
229 + 5.5										
228.5-6			7	ss	80	68	7.8%	68		Borehole noted as open and dry upon completion
228 + 6.5	<u>r</u>	Borehole Terminated @ 6.4m ^{6.4} Due to Practical Refusal								
227.5	1			I				GRAIN DISTRIBUT	ISIZE <u>SAMPLE GRAVEL</u> TION SS4 1	SAND SILT CLAY 43 52 4
1m = 26 units	WA	Input By: WA						Peterborough	, Barrie, Oshawa	, Kingston, Ottawa



Appendix D Grain Size Analysis Results





Project Number:	16599-001	Client:	1000239074 Ontario Inc	Angelo Lavinio	
Project Name:	1255 Fuller Avenue Penetangu	iishene			
Sample Date:	November 24, 2022	Sampled By:	Waleed El-Taweel - Cam	bium Inc.	
Location:	BH 101-22 SS 3	Depth:	1.5 m to 2.1 m	Lab Sample No:	S-22-1831

UNIFIE	ED SOIL CLASSIF	ICATION SYSTE	M			
	SAND (<4.	75 mm to 0.075 mm)	GRAVE	GRAVEL (>4.75 mm)		
CLAY & SILT (<0.075 mm)	FINE	MEDIUM	FINE	COARSE		



	MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM										
CLA	CLAY SILT	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	ROUIDERS			
			SAND			GRAVEL		BOOLDENS			

Borehole No.	Sample No.	Depth		Gravel			Sand		Silt		Clay	Moisture
BH 101-22	SS 3		1.5 m to 2.1 m		23		61		15		1	5.3
	Description		Classification		D ₆₀		D ₃₀		D ₁₀		Cu	Cc
Gravelly S	Description Gravelly Sand some Silt trace Clay		SM		0.360		0.140)	0.054		6.67	1.01

Additional information available upon request

Issued By:

Date Issued:

(Senior Project Manager)

December 19, 2022





Project Number:	16599-001	Client:	1000239074 Ontario Inc	Angelo Lavinio	
Project Name:	1255 Fuller Avenue Penetangu	iishene			
Sample Date:	November 24, 2022	Sampled By:	Waleed El-Taweel - Cam	bium Inc.	
Location:	BH 102-22 SS 5	Depth:	3 m to 3.7 m	Lab Sample No:	S-22-1832

UNIFI	ED SOIL CLASSIF	ICATION SYSTE	M			
	SAND (<4.75 mm to 0.075 mm) GRAVEL (>4.75 n					
	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	COARSE	



MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM										
	CLAY SILT	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE			
CLAT			SAND			GRAVEL		BOOLDEING		

Borehole No.	Sample No.		Depth		Gravel		Sand		Silt		Clay	Moisture
BH 102-22	SS 5		3 m to 3.7 m		1		57		36		6	7.1
Description		Classification		D ₆₀		D ₃₀		D ₁₀		Cu	Cc	
Sand and Silt trace Clay trace Gravel		SM		0.1750		0.050	0	0.0051		34.31	2.80	

Additional information availabe upon request

Date Issued:

January 13, 2023

Issued By:

(Senior Project Manager)

194 Sophia St. | Peterborough | ON | K9H 1E5





Project Number:	16599-001	Client:	1000239074 Ontario Inc	Angelo Lavinio	
Project Name:	1255 Fuller Avenue Penetangu	iishene			
Sample Date:	November 24, 2022	Sampled By:	Waleed El-Taweel - Cam	bium Inc.	
Location:	BH 103-22 SS 4	Depth:	2.3 m to 2.9 m	Lab Sample No:	S-22-1835

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM									
	SAND (<4.	75 mm to 0.075 mm)	GRAVEL (>4.75 mm)						
CLAY & SILT (<0.075 mm)	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	COARSE				



	MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM										
CLAY		FINE MEDIUM COARSE			FINE	COARSE	POLIDERS				
CLAY	SILI		SAND			GRAVEL		BOULDERS			

Borehole No.	Sample No.		Depth		Gravel	Sand		Silt		Clay	Moisture
BH 103-22	SS 4		2.3 m to 2.9 m		3	59		34		4	7.9
Description		Classification		D ₆₀	D ₃₀		D ₁₀		Cu	Cc	
Silty Sand trace Clay trace Gravel		SM		0.1800	0.060	0	0.0086	j	20.93	2.33	

Additional information availabe upon request

Date Issued:

January 13, 2023

Issued By:

(Senior Project Manager)





Project Number:	16599-001	Client:	1000239074 Ontario Inc	Angelo Lavinio	
Project Name:	1255 Fuller Avenue Penetangu	iishene			
Sample Date:	November 24, 2022	Sampled By:	Waleed El-Taweel - Cam	bium Inc.	
Location:	BH 104-22 SS 3	Depth:	1.5 m to 2.1 m	Lab Sample No:	S-22-1834

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM								
CLAV $R SII T (c0.075 mm)$	SAND (<4.	75 mm to 0.075 mm)	GRAVEL (>4.75 mm)					
	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	COARSE			



MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM										
	FINE MEDIUM COARSE			FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	ROUIDERS			
	SILI		SAND			GRAVEL		BOOLDENS		

Borehole No.	Sample No.		Depth		Gravel		Sand		Silt		Clay	Moisture
BH 104-22	SS 3		1.5 m to 2.1 m		3 57		57	34			6	8.1
Description		Classification		D ₆₀		D ₃₀		D ₁₀		Cu	Cc	
Silty Sand trace Clay trace Gravel		SM		0.1750		0.055	0	0.0070)	25.00	2.47	

Additional information availabe upon request

Date Issued:

866.217.7900 | cambium-inc.com 194 Sophia St. | Peterborough | ON | K9H 1E5 January 13, 2023

Issued By:

(Senior Project Manager)





Project Number:	16599-001	Client:	1000239074 Ontario Inc	Angelo Lavinio	
Project Name:	1255 Fuller Avenue Penetangu	iishene			
Sample Date:	November 24, 2022	Sampled By:	Waleed El-Taweel - Cam	bium Inc.	
Location:	BH 106-22 SS 4	Depth:	2.3 m to 2.9 m	Lab Sample No:	S-22-1833

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM								
	SAND (<4.	75 mm to 0.075 mm)	GRAVEL (>4.75 mm)					
CLAY & SILT (<0.075 mm)	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	COARSE			



	MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM										
	CLAY SILT	сн т	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE			
			SAND			GRAVEL		BOOLDEING			

Borehole No.	Sample No.	Depth			Gravel		Sand		Silt		Clay	Moisture
BH 106-22	SS 4		2.3 m to 2.9 m		1	43			52		4	10.4
Description		Classification		D ₆₀		D ₃₀		D ₁₀		Cu	Cc	
Silt and Sand trace Clay trace Gravel		ML		0.0910		0.043	0	0.0082	2	11.10	2.48	

Additional information availabe upon request

Date Issued:

January 13, 2023

Issued By:

(Senior Project Manager)

866.217.7900 | cambium-inc.com 194 Sophia St. | Peterborough | ON | K9H 1E5



Appendix E Water Balance Calculations



Water Balance Calculations

1255 Fuller Avenue, Penetanguishene, Ontario

THORNTHWAITE-TYPE MONTHLY WATER-BALANCE MODEL													
modified from Dingman 2015: Box 6-8 (pg 299) using ET model of Hamon (1963)													
		Ir	put Da	ta		Comp	outed V	alues					
										S	urplus	499	mm/vr
Weather Station Leastion:	Ponoto	nguiok	ana C			atituda	11 E	dograd					<i>y</i> .
	Fenela	inguisi	lelle, C		L	antuue.	44.5	uegree	,				
	00.0	40.0	4 5	40.0	10.0	00.4	04.0	40.4	0.0	0.0	40.5	00.0	
Solar Declination (degree)	-20.6	-12.6	-1.5	10.0	19.0	23.1	21.0	13.4	2.6	-9.0	-18.5	-23.0	
DayLength (hr)*	9.1	10.3	11.8	13.3	14.6	15.3	15.0	13.8	12.3	10.8	9.4	8.7	
Available Water Stor	age Ca	pacity	0.18	m/m	Roo	t Depth	1000	mm	SO	ILmax	180.0	mm	
			ΜΟΝΤΙ	HLY W/	ATER B	BALANC	E DAT	Α					
		Tem	peratur	es in C,	water-l	balance	terms i	n mm.					
Month:	J	F	Μ	Α	М	J	J	Α	S	0	Ν	D	Year
=======================================	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	====	=====
TEMPERATURE (T)	-8.5	-6.4	-1.9	5.8	12.2	18.1	20.8	19.9	15.9	9.3	3.2	-3.1	
PRECIPITATION (P)	109.8	69.9	65.7	65.1	92.8	89.5	72.7	77.9	99.1	90.1	103.6	104.4	1041
RAIN	21.5	20.9	36.1	59.3	92.8	89.5	72.7	77.9	99.1	88.0	74.8	27.5	760
SNOW	88	49	30	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	29	77	281
MELT FACTOR (F)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.97	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.53	0.00	
PACK	179	228	257	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	90	
MELT	0	0	0	254	9	0	0	0	0	2	15	0	281
INPLIT (W)	22	21	36	204 314	102	90	73	78	aa	<u>م</u>	90	28	1041
POTENTIAL ET (PET)	0	21	0	30	67	08	116	101	60	11	23	20	556
	22	21	26	274	24	0	10	22	20	40	67	0 20	550
	100	100	100	100	100	-0 170	-40	-23	140	49	100	20 100	
SOIL MOISTORE (SOIL)	160	100	160	160	160	172	130	119	149	100	160	100	•
ΔSOIL 	0	0	0	0	0	-8	-37	-17	30	31	0	0	0
	0	0	0	39	67	98	109	94	69	41	23	0	542
SURPLUS=W-EI-ASUIL	22	21	36	274	34	0	0	0	0	17	67	28	499
Notes:													
Precipitation, Rain, Temperature, ar	nd Latitud	e are inp	utted par	ameters									
SOILmax = available water storage	capacity	* root de	pth										
m = month													
D = Day length (hrs) =2*cos ⁻¹ (-tan(L	.atitude)*t	an(Declir	nation))/0	.2618 [ca	Iculation i	s in radiar	ns]						
$\text{SNOW}_{\text{m}} = \text{P}_{\text{m}} - \text{RAIN}_{\text{m}}$	0°0		4.16 7	a%a									
$F_m = 0$ if $T_m <= 0^{-1}C$; $F_m = 0.167^{-1}T_m$ if	10°C<1 _m <	:6°C;F _m :	= 1 if I _m >	=6°C									
$MELT = E^{*}(SNOW + PACK)$	1)												
$W_{m} = RAIN_{m} + MFI T_{m}$													
PET = 0 if $T_m < 0$; otherwise PET = 2	.98*0.611	*exp(17.	3*T _m /(T _m ·	+237))/(T		*Number c	of days in	month [H	amon ET	model (1963)]		
$\Delta W_m = W_m - PET_m$			、 11	,, 、	,		-						
SOIL = min{ $[\Delta W_m + SOIL_{m-1}]$, SOILm	ax}, if ∆W	m>0; oth	erwise S	OIL = SO	IL _{m-1} * exp	o(ΔW/SOII	_max)						
Δ SOIL = SOIL _{m-1} -SOIL _m													
ET = PET if W _m > PET; otherwise, E	ET=W _m -∆	SOIL											



Pre- and Post-Development Water Balance Calculations

1255 Fuller Avenue, Penetanguishene, Ontario

1 Climate Information

	Precipitation	104	1 mm/yr	
	Actual Evapotranspiration Water Surplus	54. 49	2 mm/yr 9 mm/yr	
2	Infiltration Dates		- ,,	
Z	Table 2 Approach - Infiltration factors			
	Topography: Rolling Land	0.1	2	
	Soil Type: Silty sand matrix with minimal gravel and clay	0.2	5	
	Cover: Cultivated land/Woodland	0.1	5	
	Total Infiltration Factor	0.	6	
	Infiltration (Water Surplus * Infiltration Factor)	29	9 mm/yr	
	Run-off (Water Surplus - Infiltration)	20	0 mm/yr	
	Table 3 Approach - Typical Recharge Rates			
	Coarse Sand and Gravel	>250	mm/yr	
	Fine to medium sand	200-250	mm/yr	
	Silty sand to sandy silt	150-200	mm/yr	
	Silt	125-150	mm/yr	
	Clayey Silt	100- 125	mm/yr	
	Clay	<100	mm/yr	
	Site development area is underlain predominantly by sand an	nd silty sand	,	
	Based on the above, the recharge rate is typically	200-250	mm/yr	
3	Pre-Development Property Statistics	ha	m²	
	Total Paved Area	0.01	120	
	Total Roof Area	0.01	130	
	Total Landscape Area	3.83	38,305	
	Total	3.86	38,555	
4	Post-Development Property Statistics	ha	m²	
	Total Paved Area	0.41	9,340	
	Total Roof Area	0.28	24,580	
	Total Landscape Area	0.31	4,635	
	Total	1.01	38,555	



Pre- and Post-Development Water Balance Calculations

1255 Fuller Avenue, Penetanguishene, Ontario

5 Pre-Development Water Balance

Land Use		Area (m²)	Precipitation (m ³)	Evapotranspiration (m ³)	Infiltration (m ³)	Run-off (m³)			
Impervious Areas	Paved Area	120	125	12	-	112			
Impervious Areas	Roof Area	130	135	14	-	122			
Pervious Areas	Landscape Area	38,305	39,876	20,761	11,469	7,646			
	Totals	38,555	40,136	20,787	11,469	7,880			
Assuming no infiltration occurring in paved and roof areas, and 10% of precipitation to be evaporated from paved and roof areas.									

6 Post-Development Water Balance

Land Use		Area (m²)	Precipitation (m ³)	Evapotranspiration (m ³)	Infiltration (m ³)	Run-off (m³)			
Impervious Areas	Paved Area	9,340	9,723	972	-	8,751			
	Roof Area	24,580	25,588	2,559	-	23,029			
Pervious Areas	Landscape Area	4,635	4,825	2,512	1,388	925			
	Totals	38,555	40,136	6,043	1,388	32,705			
Assuming no infiltration occurring in paved and roof areas, and 10% of precipitation to be evaporated from paved and roof areas.									

7 Comparision of Pre- and Post -Development

	Precipitation (m ³)	Evapotranspiration (m ³)	Infiltration (m ³)	Run-off (m³)
Pre-Development	40,136	20,787	11,469	7,880
Post-Development	40,136	6,043	1,388	32,705
Change in Volume	-	- 14,744	- 10,081	24,825
Change in %	-	- 71	- 88	315

8 Requirement for Infiltration of Roof Run-off

Volume of Pre-Development Infiltration (m ³ /yr)			
Volume of Post-Development Infiltration (m ³ /yr)	1,388		
Deficit from Pre to Post Development Infiltration (m ³ /yr)	10,081		
Percentage of Roof Runoff required to match the pre-development infiltration (%)	44		